UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,171	06/27/2003	Shigehiko Haseba	116374	5645
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 11/26/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/607,171	HASEBA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Quana M. Grainger	2852		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-13 and 16-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-13 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-14-2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/607,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7-14-2008 was considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomono et al. (6,358,432) in view of Ozawa et al (2003/0155548A1) or Kodama et al. (cited by applicant JP03-212907A).

The magnetic core 2 provided so as to be related to at least a part of a magnetic filed generation means 4 or 5 by Tomono et al. teaches a base material having dispersed magnetic material (column 2, lines 33-58). The magnetic particle is at least one of iron powder, ferrite

powder, and magnetite powder (column 2, lines 46-51). The magnetic core 2 is related to at least part of the magnetic field generation means 4 or 5. Tomono et al. does not teach that the base material being solidified hydraulic composition.

Ozawa teaches magnetic particles arranged in a base material under a dispersed state, the base material being solidified hydraulic composition. Kodama et al. teaches magnetic item materials arranged in a base material under a dispersed state, the base material being solidified hydraulic composition (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Ozawa et al. with the device of Tomono et al. to obtain a magnet that exhibits considerably excellent corrosion resistance, heat resistance and high strength (Ozawa et al. [0011]).

The examiner takes official notice that it is known in the art to use a transformer obtained by winding at least two coils at different positions of one magnetic core (see citation below).

The examiner takes official notice that an electrophotographic apparatus comprising an image formation device that forms an unfixed toner image on a surface of a record medium by using electrophotography; and a fusing member having a fixing rotation body and a pressurizing rotation body disposed to press against the fixing rotation body to define a nip part therebetween, fixing the unfixed toner image on the surface of the record medium by inserting the record medium into the nip part so that the surface on which the unfixed toner image is formed contacts with the fixing rotation body, wherein a conductive layer is formed in the proximity of a circumferential surface of one of the fixing rotation body and the pressurizing rotation body; wherein a magnetic field generation member is placed close to one of the fixing rotation

Art Unit: 2852

body and the pressurizing rotation body to which the conductive layer is formed; and wherein the magnetic field generation member has the magnetic core is known in the art (see cited reference Sakagami, JP2002-72722a).

The examiner takes official notice that it is known in the art to select a coercive force of no more than 50 oersteds (see Moro, cited previously).

The examiner also takes official notice that an electrophotographic apparatus whereby a conductive layer is formed in the proximity of the circumferential surface of the image bearing rotation body; wherein a magnetic field generation member is disposed close to the image bearing rotation body in the nip part and upstream thereof of the image bearing rotation body; and wherein the magnetic field generation member has the magnetic core is know in the art (see Maeyama; JP2000-242108a). Finally, the examiner takes official notice that a magnetic field generated by a magnetic field generation member may be made to act on a heating member having a conductive layer, whereby the heating member is heated by the electromagnetic induction action is known in the art (see related art statement specification [0012]).

5. Claims 12-13 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narumiya et al. (JP1-86504A) in view of Ozawa et al. or Kodama et al.

The magnetic shield by Narumiya et al. teaches dispersing magnetic particles in a base material (abstract). Narumiya et al. does not teach a hydraulic composition.

Ozawa teaches magnetic particles arranged in a base material under a dispersed state, the base material being solidified hydraulic composition. Kodama et al. teaches magnetic item

materials arranged in a base material under a dispersed state, the base material being solidified hydraulic composition (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Ozawa et al. with the device of Narumiya et al. to obtain a magnet that exhibits considerably excellent corrosion resistance, heat resistance and high strength (Ozawa et al. [0011]).

The examiner takes official notice that it is known in the art to use a transformer obtained by winding at least two coils at different positions of one magnetic core (see citation below).

The examiner takes official notice that an electrophotographic apparatus comprising an image formation device that forms an unfixed toner image on a surface of a record medium by using electrophotography; and a fusing member having a fixing rotation body and a pressurizing rotation body disposed to press against the fixing rotation body to define a nip part therebetween, fixing the unfixed toner image on the surface of the record medium by inserting the record medium into the nip part so that the surface on which the unfixed toner image is formed contacts with the fixing rotation body, wherein a conductive layer is formed in the proximity of a circumferential surface of one of the fixing rotation body and the pressurizing rotation body; wherein a magnetic field generation member is placed close to one of the fixing rotation body and the pressurizing rotation body to which the conductive layer is formed; and wherein the magnetic field generation member has the magnetic core is known in the art (see cited reference Sakagami, JP2002-72722a).

The examiner also takes official notice that an electrophotographic apparatus comprising: an image bearing rotation body; an image formation device that forms an unfixed

toner image on a circumferential surface of the image bearing rotation body by using electrophotography; and a pressurizing member disposed to face the image bearing rotation body to define a nip part therebetween, in which a record medium is inserted into the nip part, whereby the unfixed toner image is transferred and fixed onto a surface of the record medium by application of heat and pressure, whereby a conductive layer is formed in the proximity of the circumferential surface of the image bearing rotation body; wherein a magnetic field generation member is disposed close to the image bearing rotation body in the nip part and upstream thereof of the image bearing rotation body; and wherein the magnetic field generation member has the magnetic core is know in the art (see Maeyama; JP2000-242108a).

The examiner takes official notice that it is known in the art to select a coercive force of no more than 50 oersteds (see Moro, cited previously).

Finally, the examiner takes official notice that a magnetic field generated by a magnetic field generation member may be made to act on a heating member having a conductive layer, whereby the heating member is heated by the electromagnetic induction action is known in the art (see related art statement specification [0012]).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments filed 7-15-2008 have been fully considered and are persuasive with respect to Moro. The office action has been updated to include Moro and newly cited reference Kodama et al. A translation of Narumiya is attached to this action.

The examiner fully expects that the next office action will be final.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,171 Page 7

Art Unit: 2852

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quana M. Grainger whose telephone number is 571-272-2135.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Gray can be reached on 571-272-2119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quana M Grainger/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2852

QG